

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/717,614	11/21/2003	Steffen Beyer	010739.51198D1	8198
23911	7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			DIXON, MERRICK L	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20044-4300		1774	
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\mathcal{L}			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/717,614	BEYER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Merrick Dixon	1774				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss			
THE - External control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication be period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the provided by the Office later than three months after the month adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI catute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commi	unication.			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	0 August 2004.					
· · —	·	This action is non-final.					
′=	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
-	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	I.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have beer	n received in this National Sta	ge			
	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		48			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not		#			
Attachmen	nt(s)	M	PRIMARY EXAMINER				
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date	2)			
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date <u>see office action</u> .	(3) Notice of (6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	د)			

Application/Control Number: 10/717,614

Art Unit: 1774

15

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the legal word,

16

Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 14, lines 2-3, contains an improper Markush group.

"comprises". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

In claim 16, line 2, the phrase, "are worked" is not understood.

Applicants are requested to provide related corrections.

17

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

18

Claims 11-14,21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strasser et al(US 6134881) in view of Haidn et al(US 6151887).

Application/Control Number: 10/717,614

Art Unit: 1774

The cited primary reference teaches the basic claimed invention including a process for making a combustion chamber comprising making a fibrous structure with a three dimensional matrix, forming a silicon carbide matrix and making at least one composite material jacket from the resulting composite material- col 5, lines 44-56; col 6, lines 11-66; col 7, lines 15-30. Although the primary reference forms its silicon carbide matrix during its process it fails to expressly teach how such silicon is introduced into its composite to form the silicon carbide matrix. The secondary reference to Haidn et al, however, teaches that it is known in the art to form silicon carbide matrix via addition of silicon into respective fibrous material of the instant art- col 6, lines 13-42; col 3, lines 58- col 4, line 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to combine the teachings of the secondary reference to Haidn et al and form its silicon carbide matrix via feeding silicon material to the fibrous material, such as taught by Strasser et al, thus converting same to a silicon carbide matrix, in the absence of unexpected results. Such a combination would have been obvious to provide improved oxidative protection and improved stability to the resulting chambercol 3, lines 46-57. Concerning article claims 12,21 and 24, it is submitted that the claimed article limitations are of no patentable consequences to the instant question for patentability which must be patentable distinct. Ex parter Pfeiffer, 1962 C.D. 408 (1961). However, it is submitted that it would have been obvious in the cited references, to provide the fibrous structure with such claimed specific material, in the absence of unexpected results depending on desired product characteristics/properties. Concerning claims 13 and 14, the primary reference teaches weaving of its parts in col 7, lines 18-25; col 8, lines 30-35.

19

Claims 17- 20,22,23,25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strasser et al(US 6134881) in view of Tuffias et al(US 5855828). The primary reference to Strasser et al was discussed above, inter alla. The primary reference fails to teach the aspect of metal coating its respective layers. The secondary reference to Tuffias et al, however, teaches that it is known in the art to deposit metal-like material (metallic coatings) to combustion devices such as taught by the primary reference- col 4, lines 28-43; col 6, lines 10-14; col 7, lines 3-22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to combine the teachings of the secondary reference to Tuffias et al and facilitate the primary reference with similar type metallic coating as required by claims 17 and 18 and in the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/717,614

Art Unit: 1774

absence of unexpected results and additionally to impart oxidation resistance to the chamber- col 10, lines 1-3. Concerning claims 25,27 and 29, the secondary reference teaches the aspect of infiltrating the fibrous material during its patented process- col 9, lines 1-5; col 3, lines 1-30. It is submitted same is done simultaneous when the metallic material is deposit on the fibrous material. the cited primary reference teaches multilayered chamber- fig 5a; col 3, lines 21-30; col 6, lines 11-66. see secondary reference, also, col 8, lines 30-55. Concerning claims 17,22,26 and 28, the secondary reference teaches electroplating in col 3, lines 59-63. It is submitted that it would have been obvious to utilize such well known process in the obvious combined teachings of the reference, as set forthby the examiner and as claimed claimed by applicants. Concerning claims 20 and 23, the secondary reference teaches affixing load bearing layers on its chamber in col 8, lines 55-63; col 10, lines 4-20. Concerning claims 13,14 and 16, the secondary reference teaches weaving attachment means in col 4, lines 59-66. Concerning claims 15, 16 and 19, the claimed shaped spaces are directed to article limitations are of no patentable consequences to the instant question for patentability which must be manipulatively distinct. Ex parte Pfeiffer, 1962 C.D. 408(1961). However, Strasser et al, teaches similar spaces "worked" into the composite material, as required by claim 16 and understood by the examiner, in its layer material in col 4, lines 1-9.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,614

Art Unit: 1774

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Donguy(US 4967599) are cited of interest for its teachings as set forth and additionally to show the state of the art..

21

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 703-872-9306.

Information about **the status of an application** may be obtained from the Patent Information Retrieval system (**Private PAIR**).

Status inquires for **published applications** may be retrieved from either **Private PAIR** or **Public PAIR**. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at **866-217-9197**.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays to Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern time. The examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Rena Dye, can be reached at 571-272-3186.

Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Group 1700